turn, brought in the poets John
Ashbery and Kenneth Koch — the
three had overlapped at Harvard —
and eventually attracted a legion of
young disciples, including Bill
Berkson, Barbara Guest and Ron
Padgett, to the scene.

And a scene it was: amorous, rivalrous and incestuous; at once an avant-garde and — much like the New York art world at present — an avant-garde in reverse. Poetry was pushing into prickly new territory, while art was revisiting old ground, although with some new moves. What made the situation at Tibor de Nagy distinctive was that almost everyone was collaborating, artists and poets alike.

Remember the context. This was the high moment of Abstract Expressionism, with its image of the heroic artist battling his way alone toward some existential sublime. Set that image against another: O'Hara and Rivers, lovers at the time, sitting knee to knee as they worked on a series of jointly made lithographs, each adding drawings, jokes, notes to friends and poems like valentines.

Or consider the poetry books coming out under the Tibor de Nagy imprint, among them Mr. Ashbery's first collection, with drawings by Ms. Freilicher, and O'Hara's 1953 "Oranges," with hand-painted covers by Hartigan. These weren't weighty

tomes. They were pretty pamphlets, so thin and fragile as to be all but invisible on a library shelf.

To get a sense of the anti-heroic spirit actively encouraged at the gallery under Myers's auspices, take a peek at the two zany films by Rudy Burckhardt in the show. The shorter one, "Mounting Tension," from 1950, casts Rivers as a lecherous painter, Ms. Freilicher as his vampy shrink, and Mr. Ashbery as a touchy-feely jock (he's touching and feeling sculptures at Moma) in a send-up of the psychic angst Abstract Expressionism held dear.

In the more polemical second film, "Money," the poet and critic Edwin Denby — Burckhardt's longtime lover — gives a bravura performance as a cash-crazed tycoon, and the artists Alex Katz and Red Grooms, along with Myers, play corporate thugs in a boardroom.

"Money" dates from 1968, well after the rise of Pop, by which time contemporary art, long a coterie interest, had become a high-powered commercial enterprise. What we're basically seeing in this film is evidence of one golden art moment giving way to another. Tibor de Nagy painters didn't do Pop, though some served as a bridge to it: Rivers with his brushy skewerings of history painting; Hartigan with her big-stroked takes on advertising. In any case, the gallery under Myers didn't make the transition.

Many of its painters had hit their peak in the 1950s, leveling off or declining thereafter. Myers himself left in 1970 to do other things; he died in 1987. De Nagy, who died in 1993, passed the gallery on to its present owners,



Andrew Arnot and Eric Brown, who assembled the anniversary show.

They've made judicious choices. There are a few starry items, like Ms.
Freilicher's 1954 still-life "The Painting Table," a jumble of jars, cans, tubes and brushes, owned by Mr.
Ashbery and reproduced on the cover of his book of collected art criticism.
There's plenty of inner-circle portraiture: Nell Blaine's painting of Ms. Freilicher, Porter's of James Schuyler and so on.

O'Hara is a big presence. In a 1960 photograph by Fred W. McDarrah we see him leaving MoMA dressed like a banker. And in an invaluable television documentary from 1966, the year he died, we hear him read his poetry.

A good amount of material is archival, visually low key but fascinating. And there's work by a few artists we rarely see.

One is the gallery's original backer, Dwight Ripley (1908-73), represented by two small, fanciful drawings. Myers gave him five solos, but the last was in 1962, and he was all but forgotten until 2004 when the poet Douglas Crase published a superb biography called "Both: A Portrait in Two Parts," and followed it with a exhibition at Poet's House.

Maybe Mr. Arnot and Mr. Brown will return this fine-grained and elusive artist to view, as they have Joe Brainard (1941-94) over the past decade. With Brainard it's almost impossible to make fast distinctions between poetry and art. His three collages in the show are collaborations with O'Hara, but his solo work, with its mix of image and word, is a form of self-collaboration, a witty, exquisitely phrased interior conversation.

If the early poetry that Tibor de Nagy published was more adventurous by far than the art that the gallery showed, and left a richer legacy, it was the friction of their meeting that made this moment glow. Whatever happened to that meeting, or rather to the idea of it? Did art get too public and poetry stay too private? Could the digital realm be a place for reconciliation? Or is the very question the stuff of yesteryear? I'd really like to know. Meanwhile there's this show.

